

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Y DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,997 09/24/2003		9/24/2003	Sebastian J. Maerkl	20174C-009410 4522			
20350 7	590	10/18/2005		EXAMINER		INER	
TOWNSEND	AND T	TOWNSEND AN	HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M				
TWO EMBAR	CADER	O CENTER					
EIGHTH FLOOR					T UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834					3753		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		()					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/670,997	MAERKL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen M. Hepperle	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	eptember 2005.						
	<del>-</del>						
•	•—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	тх рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4:	53 U.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
.—	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
, <u> </u>	S) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-10 is/are rejected.						
•	<ul> <li>7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
	,						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		sted to by the Eveniner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2003 is/							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/22/05.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	, (PTO-413)					

Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I in the reply filed on 16 September 2005 is acknowledged.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The drawings are objected to because many of the figures are obviously informal and most have informal lettering. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Pan et al. Pan shows an arrangement of flow channel 14, 16 formed in a first (lowest in Figs. 2 and 4) layer, a first control channel 20-22 in a second higher layer adjacent the first layer, and the two layers separated by a deflectable membrane. A second control channel 26 is adjacent the first control channel, is located in a layer adjacent the second layer, and is separated from the first control channel by a second deflectable diaphragm. Under 35 USC 102, the term "microfluidic" is seem as having an indefinite size, and so has been given no patentable weight. Alternatively, under 35 USC 103, it would have been obvious to make the Pan device small enough to be considered "micro" as smallness is a design objective of the overall device by eliminating the need for a separate control valve for each main valve. Regarding claim 3, it would have been obvious to turn the Pan device upside down, which would put the first layer over the second. Regarding claims 6-7, the area of each valve is widened relative to the rest of the channel. Regarding claims 8-10, 5 first control channels and

Application/Control Number: 10/670,997

Art Unit: 3753

five second control channels independently control 25 valves and therefore flow channels (col. 7, line 51 to col. 8, line 10).

Claims 1-3 and 5-10 are alternatively rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pan et al. in view of Higdon et al. Higdon shows pilot operated diaphragm microvalves (Fig 3B). It would have been obvious to shrink down the Pan diaphragm valve matrix to save space as shown by Higdon.

Claims 4 and 11-12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lisec shows a diaphragm microvalve. Andreev, Park, and Klann show other multiplexor arrangements, where a small number of control valves discreetly control far more valves or switches. Unger is a similar patent to applicant and others.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Hepperle whose telephone number is 571-272-4913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen M. Hepperle Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

**SMH**